# IMPBACHMENT.

The Present Prospects of the

Expected Change in the President's Cabinet.

Position of the Acquitting Republican Senators.

The Impeachment Managers and the Alleged Corruption of Senators.

SENATOR TRUMBULL'S OPINION.

The Feeling Throughout the Country.

The Feeling in Washington Over the Vote on the Eleventh Article—The Carpet-Baggers

The chief subject of discussion to-day, with the cir-des of political conservatism here, is the extraordipary and unprecedented action of the radicals on resterday in adjourning the court while the verdict was in process of being pronounced, and had really been somewhat more than half decided. That his arrangement was cut and dried admits of very little doubt. Williams' motion to the eleventh article first was pending last Tuesday, and was an eminently strategic ecure a test vote on impeachment without allowing a verdict to be recorded. The eleventh arti-ele was certainly regarded by the radicals as the strongest, and that being defeated it was easy to see the fate that was sure to befall the other ten. Wildical vote it received gave additional color the impression that the matter had been ovided for beforehand. Of course if the vote had vas necessary to try some desperate experiment to void such a contingency. But the people asks why djourn the court? The country is not so uitted, and the subterfuge of the impeachers in poning the actual verdict, as desiring more time tion, is regarded as too transparent to dein the ist of the many footish deeds perpetrated at the radical party. Conservatives detect the with indignation as a most flagrant of partisanship; as the expressed resolve hirty United States Senators to fling the rations of their oath aside, and prevent, y means within their power, the rendering of to the man they have been sworn to try after and judicial manner. And now it is asked, at being compelled to admit that impeachment led, as according to all radical predictions it possibly fall while there is a single article left

ac of those Senators hostile to Wade and favora-to acquittal, because of their aversion to the sident pro tempore of the Senate, might change r votes to conviction, if it could help any other but Wade on the ticket with Grant. Again, it id, the Arkansas Senators and those of several ed among the judges in the High Court, thus ecting of the court, but the court can be tion on the part of the republican Senators who voted for acquittal, and their expulsion from the Senate demanded; and this being carried through, the road to conviction would be easy. All this constitutes the Sabbath gossip of Washington, and much more is no doubt attributed to the impeachers as their motive for postponing the fate of Andrew

Since the termination of the impeachment bugaboo has been postponed until "a more convenient season," somewhere in the dim future, there seems to be no pressing necessity for the presence of the army of carpet-baggers that has been quartered in our midst for the past two or three weeks. They feel that their "occupation has gone" to some other locality, and they are following it with the least possible delay. The hegira of these political locusts commenced yesterday, but the grand rush was reserved for to-day. The evening trains for New York and Baltimore were literally stuffed with carpet bags and their owners, most of whom are en route for Chicago.

with carpet bags and their owners, most of whom are en route for Chicago.

President Johnson and His Cabinet.

The following statement is predicated on information derived from highly respectable sources:—It is generally known here that some of the members, if not all of President Johnson's Cabinet, have signified a willingness to retire from office, and hence there is much speculation on that subject. Prominent gentlemen of both parties, in conversation recently, agreed in sentiment that much much speculation nent gentlemen of both parties, in conversation recently, agreed in sentiment that much of the trouble between Congress and the President springs from the defect of the Executive administration under our present form of government, and that if the ministry here, as in England, would retire and if the ministry here, as in England, would retire and if the ministry here, as in England, would retire and give place to one in accord with the expressed will of people, we should have had peace long ago. Reconstruction under the President's plan having been rejected, it is now thought by Congressmen of both parties that he will agree to admit Southern representatives in any way practicable, in order that the national in terests of the South may be heard and cared for in Congress.

Position of the Scenators Who Voted for Acquistral.

The statement that the President has made any promises to conservative Senators voting for his acquittal, to support or uphold them by the power of his administration, is untrue.

acquittal, to support or uphold them by the power of his administration, is untrue.

Those republicans voting against impeachment claim to be as decidedly opposed to President Johnson's political course as are the most earnest radicals. They regard impeachment as a judicial and not a political proceeding. It is known that they claim to be as determined to carry out the Congressional policy as are their more radical colleagues, but they do not think that the President either can or will attempt further obstructions. either can or will attempt further obstructions. They hope and believe that he will change his Cabi-net to a great extent at least, and by a more liberal and can have no effect on their judgment in passing on the articles of impeachment.

Prespects for a New Cabinet.

If, it is said, the impeachment had not been pending there is little doubt that a new Cabinet would long ing there is little doubt that a new Cabinet would long since have been designated, composed of the most distinguished and eminent men of the country. It should not, therefore, create surprise if a thorough change shall be made in the complexion of political affairs. If these conjectures should be realized it will remain for the Senate, in view of the probable defeat of the remaining articles of impeachment on the 28th inst., to accept or reject what, if offered and accepted in good faith, must soon lead

The Impeachment Managers About to Com-mesco Their Dirty Work.

The Impeachment Managers will commence to-norrow the inquiry as to the alleged improper or orrupt means said to have been used to influence the determination of the Senate upon the articles of

Justice Chase called yesterday on President Johnson to congratulate him on the result of the Senate's

## OPINION OF SENATOR TRUMBULL.

filed on Saturday, in the impeachment case:— To do impartial justice in all things appertaining to

the present trial, according to the constitution and laws, is the duty imposed on each Senator by the position he holds and the oath he has taken, and he who
falters in the discharge of that duty, either from personal or party considerations, is unworthy his position and merits the scorn and contempt of all just
men. The question to be decided is not whether
Andrew Johnson is a proper person to fill the duty, to convict and depose him for any other causes than those alleged in the articles of impeachment. As well might any citizen take the law into his own hands, and become its executioner, as to ask the Senate to convict outside of the case made. To sancand all liberty worth the name, since liberty un-regulated by law is but another name for anar-chy. Unfit for President as the people may regard Andrew Johnson, and much as they may desire his removal, in a legal and constitutional way, all save the unprincipled and depraved would brand with infamy and contempt the name of any Senator who should violate his sworn convictions of duty to accomplish such a result. / Keeping in view the prin-ciples by which, as honest men, we are to be guided,

the first article charges Andrew Johnson, President of the United States, with unlawfully issuing an order, while the Senate was in session, and without its advice and consent, with the intent to remove Edwin M. Stanton from the office of Secretary for

hereby discharged from any further service as Secre-tary of State." Here was a positive dismissal of a Cubinet offi er by the President while the Senate was in session, and without its consent. It is no answer to say that President Adams the same day nominated John Marshall to be Secretary of State in place of "Timothy Pickering, removed." The nomination of a person for an office does not and never did effect the removal of an incumpent. And such incumpent, unless removed by a distinct order, holds on til the nominee is confirmed and qualified. The Senate might never have given its advice and consent to the might never have given its advice and consent to the appointment of John Marshall, and did not, in fact, do so until the following day. The removal of Pickering was complete before Marshall was nominated to the Senate, as the message nominating him shows; but whether this was so or not we all know that a person in office is payer removed by the mere positions of a

office is never new and a personal and a second new common of the control of the

resity it would at this time be as impossible to comply with so much of the proviso as requires a court to be held in the New York districts in April, 1808, as it now is for Mr. Stanton to serve out the term of the President by whom he was appointed, which ended March 4, 1805. Would that circumstance take the provision for the New York districts out of the proviso, and because by the body of the act two terms are required to be held in every judicial district in the United States on the first Monday of June and November authorize the holding of courts in the New York districts at those periods? It is believed that no judge would for a moment think of giving such a construction to such an act; and yet this is precisely the construction of an act believed to be analogous in principle, which must be resorted to to bring Mr. Stanton within the body of the first section of the Tenure of Office act. Laying out of view what was said at the time of the passage of the Tenure of Office act. Laying out of view what was said at the time of the passage of the Tenure of Office act. Laying out of view what was said at the time of the passage of the Tenure of Office act. Laying out of view what was said at the time of the passage of the Tenure of Office act. Laying out of view what was said at the time of the passage of the Tenure of Office act laying out of view what was predecessor, and the unreasonableness of a construction of the act which wovid secure them in office longer than the Secretaries appointed by his predecessor, in the terms of the Tenure of Office act itself, that the President's right to remove Mr. Stantou, the Secretary of War appoinced by his predecessor, is not affected by it, and that having the authority to remove that officer under the act of 1788 he did not violate either the constitution or any staute in issuing the order for that purpose. But even if a different construction could be put upon the law. I could never consent to convict the Chief Magistrate of a great people of a high misdemeanor. The m

ble to perform them. The first was the eighth section of the act of May 8, 1722, and is as follows:

That in case of the dr ath, absence from the seat of government, or sickness of the Secretary of State, Secretary of the Treasury, or of the Secretary of the Engartement of War, or of any other officer of the self the said departments whose appointment is not in the head thereof, whereby they cannot perform the dutie of the said departments whose appointment is not in the head thereof, whereby they cannot perform the dutie of the said respective offices, it shall be lawful for the tree seas of the United States, in case he shall think it secess my to authorize any person or persons, at his discretion, to proform the duties of the said respective offices until a successful act, passed February 13, 1795, deuthorize and the second section of the said capacity of the Treasury, or of the Secretary of the Department of War, or of any officer of cither of the said department as whose appointment is not in the head thereof, whereby the said that the said the said that it is necessary, to authorize any person or persons, as his discretion, to perform the duties of the said respective offices, unit a successary to authorize any person or persons, as his discretion, to perform the duties of the said respective offices, unit is necessary, to authorize any person or persons, as his discretion, to perform the duties of the said respective offices, unit is auccessary to authorize any person or persons, as his discretion, to perform the duties of the said respective offices, unit is auccessary to authorize any person or persons, as his discretion, to perform the duties of the said respective offices, unit is auccessary, to authorize any person or persons, as his discretion, to perform the duties of the said respective offices, unit is auccessary, to authorize any person or persons, as his discretion, to perform the duties of the said respective offices, unit is auccessary, to authorize any person or persons, as his discretion,

person of person of the state of the said respective offices, name such vacancy be filled. Provided, that no such vacancy be filled. Provided for a longer term than state of the supplied in manner aforesaid for a longer term than six months.

Neither of these acts provided for vacancies in the Navy, interior or Post Omice department. Mr. Lincoln, in 1983, called attention to this defect in a special message, as follows:—

To THE SENATE AND HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:—
I submit to Congress the expediency of extending to other departments of the government the authority conferred of the President by the eighth section of the set of the 8th of

seated in the resident, at his discretion, to perform the duties or until such absence of the supplied in manner aforesaid for a longer term than six months.

These statutes contain all the legislation of Congress on the subject to which they relate. It has been insisted that, inasmuch as under the act of 1863 the President had no authority to designate any other person to perform the duties of Secretary of War than an officer in that or some of the other Executive Departments, and then in case of vacancy to supply such only as are occasioned by death or resignation, bis designation of the Adjutant General of the army to supply temporarily a vacancy occasioned by removal was without authority. If the act of 1863 repealed the act of 1795 this would doubtless be so; but if it did not repeal it, then the President clearly had the right under that act, which provided for the temporary discharge of the duties of Secretary of War in any vacancy by any person, to authorize General Thomas temporarily to discharge those duties. The law of 1863, embracing, as it does, all the departments, and containing provisions from both the previous statutes, may, however, be construed to embrace the whole subject on which it treats, and operate as a repeal of all prior laws on the same subject. It must, however, be admitted that it is by no means clear that the act of 1863 does repeal so much of the act of 1795 as authorizes the President to provide for the temporary discharge of the duties of an office from which an incumbent has been removed, or whose term of office hus expired by limitation before the regular appointment of a successor. It has been argued that the Tenure of Office act of March 2, 1867, repealed bort the act of 1796 and that of 1863, authorizing the temporary supplying of vacancies in the departments. This is an entire misapprehension. The eighth section of the Tenure of Office act recognizes that authority by making it the duty of the President, when such designations are made, to notify the Secretary of the Tenu

Importance is sought to be given to the passage by the Senate, before the impeachment articles were found by the House of Representatives, of the follow-

Ing resolution:—

Resolved by the Senate of the United States, That under the constitution and the laws of the United States the President has no power to remove the Secretary of War and designate any other officer to perform the duties of that officer and use

ing resolution:—

Resolved by the Senate of the United States the President has no power to remove the Secretary of War and designate any other officer to perform the duties of that officer and the states of the President for high crimes and misdemeanors would feel bound or induced in any degree by a resolution introduced and hastily passed before an adjournment on the very day the orders to Stanton and Thomas were issued. Let him who wolld be governed by such considerations in passing on the guilt or innocence of the accused, and not by the law and the facts as they have been developed on the trial, shelter himself under such a resolution. I am sure no honest man could. It is known, however, that the resolution coupled the two tunings—the removal of the Secretary of War and the designation of an officer at interim—together, so that those who believed either without authority were compelled to vote for the resolution.

My understanding at the time was that the act of 1863 repealed the act of 1795 authorizing the designation of a Secretary of War ad biterim in the place of a Secretary removed; but I never entertained the opinion that the President had not power to remove the Secretary of War appointed by Mr. Lincoln during his first term. Believing the act of 1705 to nave been repealed, I was bound to vote that the President had no power under the law to designate a Secretary of War ad biterim in the place of a Secretary of War advanced to the Navy and Interior prior to the act of 1861 and property designations. They acted that in the place of the Navy and Interior prior to the act of 1862 interior Postmaster General or Secretaries of the Navy and Interior prior to the act of 1863 interior prior to the form of t

der of the office by General Grant. Was this intent or purpose of the President to obtain a judicial decision in the only way then practicable a high misdemeanor?

It is unnecessary to inquire whether the President would have been justified in carrying his intention into effect. It was not done and his entertaining an intention to do it constituted, in my opinion, no offence. There is, however, to my mind another conclusive answer to this charge in the eleventh article. The President, in my view, had authority to remove Mr. Stanton, and this being so, he could by removal at any time have lawfully kept him from again taking possession of the office.

There is no proof to sustain the other charges of this article. In coming to the conclusion that the President is not guilty of any of the high crimes and misdemeanors with which he stands charged, I have endeavored to be governed by the case made without reference to other acts of his not contained in the record, and without giving the least heed to the clamor of intemperate zeasots who demand the conviction of Andrew Johnson as a test of party faith, or seek to identify with and make responsible for his acts those who from convictions of duty feel compelled on the case made to vote for his administration have been as distasteful to me as to any one, and I should consider it the great calamity of the age: if the disloyal element, so often encouraged by his measures, should gain political ascendency. If the question was, "Is Andrew Johnson a fit person for President;" I Should answer, "No." But it is not a party question nor upon Andrew Johnson's deeds and acts, except so far as they are made to appear in the record, that I am to decide.

Painful as it is to disagree with so many political associates and friends, whose conscientious convictions have led them to a different result, I must, nevertheless, in the discharge of the high responsibility under which I act, he governed by what my reason and judgment tell me is the truth and the institution of Lorenzo Thomas,

country than can arise from leaving Mr. Johnson in office for the remaining months of his term, with powers curtailed and limited as they have been by recent legislation.

Once set the example of impeaching a President for what, when the excitement of the hour shall have subsided, will be regarded as insufficient causes, as several of those now alleged against the President were decided to be by the House of Representatives only a few months since, and no future President will be safe who happens to differ with a majority of the House and two-thirds of the Senate on any measure deemed by them important, particularly if of a political character. Blinded by partisan zeal, with such an example before them, they will not scruple to remove out of the way any obstacle to the accomplishment of their purposes, and what them becomes of the checks and balances of the constitution, so carefully devised and so vital to its perpetuity? They are all gone. In view of the consequences likely to flow from this day's proceedings, should they result in conviction on what my judgment tells me are insufficient charges and proofs, I tremble for the future of my country. I cannot be an instrument to produce such a result; and at the hazard of the ties even of friendship and affection, till calmer times shall do justice to my motives, no alternative is left me but the inflexible discharge of duty.

WASHINGTON, May 15, 1868.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HERALD:statement that "Senator Grimes" private secretary wagered large sums in the Senate lobby on Monday that Grimes would vote against all the articles" of impeachment. Of course this statement is put forth by that paper only with a view of giving a little colorby that paper only with a view of giving a little coloring to its charge of corruption against Senator Grimes, and therefore, I think, warrants attention, and justifies me in troubling you with this contradiction. Mr. Grimes has no private secretary, unless it may be said that I, in my position of clerk of the Senate Naval Committee, of which he is chairman, act somewhat in that capacity. So far as the statement may relate to myself, it is entirely without foundation. I have never wagered and have never offered to wager a cent, directly or indirectly, upon Mr. Grimes' vote upon impeachment. This, like all other aspersions upon Mr. Grimes' integrity, will be found to be both base and baseless.

# THE FEELING TEROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY.

Immense Meeting of Citizens in Portland in

An immense open air citizens' meeting was held here Saturday evening, to honor Senator Fessenden, at which John Neal presided and a large number of prominent citizens served as Vice Presidents. Speeches were made by L. D. M. Sweat, F. O. J. Smith, Speeches were made by L. D. M. Sweat, F. O. J. Smith, T. H. Hubbard, General S. J. Anderson and Wm. H. Clifford. The speeches were not of a partisan character, but condemned in unmeasured terms the attempt to intimidate Mr. Fessenden by the impeachment meet-ings held in Maine and eulogistic of the Senator and

ings held in Maine and eulogistic of the Senator and his conduct.

The resolutions framed were in the name of the citizens of Portland. They condemned the spirit which would compel the Court of Impeachment to adjudicate upon the impeachment articles in such manner as the exigencies of a political party may require, the zeal of party animosity would dictate or the ambition of political aspirants would demand. They denounce attempts to force Senators to any decision means extent with the obligations which the constitution imposes as revolutionary and criminal in spirit, and as deserving the sharpest rebuke from all

SAVANNAH, Ga., May 17, 1868. Telegrams announcing the result of the impeachment vote have been received with Joy by the conservatives. The newspaper offices were througed with people anxious to hear the news. The Thad Sievens radicals are terrified, though hopeful of a conviction of the President. Radical office holders and seekers are chagrined and despondent. The universal sufrage, confiscation and Iron-clad test oath cliques are in a state of dreadful commotion over the news. It is universally believed the President will be acquitted. The patriotic and unselfish course of conservative republican Senators in voting according to conscientious convictions of duty has cheered all loyal hearts and will have a most beneficial effect upon the minds of the ultra Southern peo-ple, tending to reconcile political differences and benefit the whole country.

Rejoicing Over the Acquittal of the President

in Springfield, Mass.
Springfield, Mass.
Springfield, Mass.
The acquittal of the President causes great rejoicing among the democrats of this vicinity. One hundred gues were fred in this city last night, and one

hundred will be fired at Westfield to-morrow night Pemocratic Jubilations in New Jersey.

New Brunewick, May 17, 1868.

Yesterday the democracy of this city were very jubilant over the result of the vote on impeachment in the Senate. Last evening a procession, headed by a hand of music, paraded the streets. One hundred guns were fired and bonfires blazed all over the city.

The Feeling in Tennessee.

MEMPHIS Tenn., May 17, 1868.

The result of the impeachment vote of yesterday was very gralifying to the conservative republicans and democrats, but no demonstrations of a public character took place.

KANSAS CITY, Mo., May 17, 1868.

KANSAS CITY, Mo., May 17, 1868.

An enthusiastic meeting of the conservatives of this city was held here last night, at which the following resolutions were adopted:

Whereas the Senate of the United States, once aptiy called "the last citadel of our libertics," has refused to sustain the attempted revolutionary plot to depose the President elected by the people; and Whereas seven Senators among the opponents of the President hencetly adhered to their calins to do impartial justice according to the law and evidence.

revolutionary movement.

Resolved, That honest men of all parties have great cause to rejoice at this triumph of the constitution over a wicked conspiracy, of virtue and patriotism over venality and corruption.

The meeting adjourned amid cheers for justice, the President and the constitution.

The Feeling in St. Louis.
St. Louis, May 17, 1868.
The announcement of the result of the vote on the eleventh article yesterday produced no special ex-citement, but has been the subject of much conversa-tion. The conduct of Messrs. Henderson and Ross is being specially commented on by the republicans.

### NEW ZEALAND.

The Wave of Intelligence and Travel from Telegraph Circle.
[From the New Zealand Southern Cross, March 26.]

It is announced that steam and the telegraph whil shortly span the globe. There is to be not one girdle only, binding the remote with the near, but several zones of electric action and wave and wind defying motion are to annihilate distance and almost outrun time itself. New Zealand, once the

smilicient to conquer the five States instead of one only.

5. Pim's line of railroad from Monkey Point, Mosquito Shore, to Relijo, State of Nicaragua.

6. The old steamboat transat by the river San Juan from Greytown, through the Lake of Nicaragua to San Juan del Sur.

7. The Costa Rica line of inter-oceanic railway. Its establishment was celebrated by a grand banquet reported last mail. This line, though opening up the very treasure box of Central America, will have experiment difficulties to surmount. We don't know

the levels are easier; and an excelent harbor, Punta Arenas, in the Nicoya Gulf, will be a great advantage to shipping from our side. The republic of Costa Rica holds in Central America the posit on that Chile held in South America as regards order and industry. Difficult mountain ranges isolated the young country from its turbulent neighbors. While the rest fought and wrangied, Costa Rica went to work without fear of disturbance. The elevated plateau of that republic, five thousand feet above the level of the sea, gives a delicious coolness to its clinate; man grows more robust and actively inclined, and industry, therefore, is a national characteristic. About twenty-eight years ago the first few plants of corie were brought to Costa Rica by a German. This, offee trade now forms an important item even in the immensity of the London market. The Inst quanty of Costa Rica coffee, however, comes not yet into the market; it is very superior to real Mooha, and keptentirely for the consumption of the invarious "ricos" of Costa Rica. Seldom does a country present to the iraveller in search of ceauty such a combination of attractions as nature and cultivation have done in this. There you see circles of the wildest ridges sheltering valleys teening with cultivation; a girdle of frowning rauges compasses the whole table land laid out in green farms and townships like a chequer board, white over the whole towers the snow capped peak of Carthago, glittering in the tropical sun, a dazzing landmark for the mariners of the Pacific as well as the Atlantio Ocean.

We now come to the well known Panama Railway, the first of all these lines in actual operation. The experience paid for in lives and money in that enterprise will save a great deal of both in the new lines about to be opened.

The Durien cannal. The phoenix that will rise from the ashes of defunct adventurers on that line has not been bear of as yet; but it will not be long before the whole world will hear of it, and wonder some day at the contrary will be a particula

GENERAL NEWS ITEMS.

A Salt Lake City paper thinks the prevalence of divorce cases in the States a shame. A musband could be divorced half a dozen times out there witnout noticing the difference.

Wash Spradiling, a colored barber of Louisville, who was high up among the list of heavy taxpayers, and who was intimate with all the great Kentuckians of the last half century, being, it is even reported, confidential advisor to James Guthre when he was Secretary of the Treasury, has just died of heart disease.

Fuller, the teller of the Hartford City Bank, who is serving a sentence in the Conpecticut State prison for alleged frauds on the bank, has petitioned the Legislature for his release.

An Hilmos paper estimates that the expenses of the United States government last year was a little over \$1,000 a minute.

Tupper has lately written seven stunning stanzas over some bones dug up at Smithfield marryrs. After the stanzas were written anatomical science discovered the bones to be play bones. Even in that view of the case, says a cruel critic, the lines utterly falled to be adequate to the subject.

Ohio is to have a new coat of arms. The Legislature of that State has passed a bill making the coat of arms consist of a shield in the form of a circle, having in the foreground on the right a sheaf of wheat; on the left a bundle of seventeen arrows; in the background, and rising above the sheaf and arrows, a mountain range, over which is a rising sun.